UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,267	04/26/2006	Bret David Hawkins	PU030298	4090
	7590 10/07/201 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON Licensing LLC			CHOKSHI, PINKAL R	
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2425	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/577,267	HAWKINS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pinkal R. Chokshi	2425			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Se	entember 2010				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 September 2010</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
٥/ك	and duspool to rounding and an area	olootion roquiromonia				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2425

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/09/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 21, Applicant alleges that Knduson does not disclose initiating, via said television apparatus, acquisition of said first program guide data in response to said request, wherein if a broadcaster provides second program guide data to said television apparatus without being requested by said television apparatus while said television apparatus is acquiring said first program guide data, said television apparatus uses said second program guide data instead of said first program guide data. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Knudson discloses (col.11, lines 7-42; col.13, lines 4-47) that the user television equipment receives program listing data and updated program listing information concurrently from the television distribution facility as represented in Fig. 11. Knudson further discloses that the program listing data is received based on the request from the user equipment while the updated program information is received in real-time, where the user equipment uses the updated program information data for different functions. Based on this disclosure, it is clear that the priority is given to the updated program information over the program listing data, where both these data are received at the same time. The rejection is maintained.

With regard to the dependent claims, the respective rejections are maintained as Applicant has only argued that the secondary reference does not cure the deficiencies of Mountain and Knudson, nevertheless it is the Examiner's contention that Mountain and Knudson do not contain any deficiencies. See the rejection below.

Art Unit: 2425

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21, 23-25, 28, 30-32, 35, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PG Pub 2002/0194599 to Mountain (hereafter referenced as Mountain) in view of US Patent 6,536,041 to Knudson et al (hereafter referenced as Knudson).

Regarding **claim 21**, "a method for operating a television apparatus" reads on the method that provides next program information (abstract and ¶0008) disclosed by Mountain and represented in Fig. 2A.

As to "the method comprising steps of: enabling, via said television apparatus, display of a banner including information for a future program in response to one of said first program guide data and said second program guide data" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that based on the EPG data received, the receiver generates a small display on TV indicating start of next program with program information as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Mountain meets all the limitations of the claim except "requesting, via said television apparatus, first program guide data." However, Knudson discloses

(col.11, lines 7-18) that based on the user's request, the television equipment receives program listing data as represented in Fig. 8.

As to "initiating, via said television apparatus, acquisition of said first program guide data in response to said request, wherein if a broadcaster provides second program guide data to said television apparatus without being requested by said television apparatus while said television apparatus is acquiring said first program guide data, said television apparatus uses said second program guide data instead of said first program guide data" Knudson discloses (col.2, lines 44-56; col.13, lines 17-48) that the database at television equipment receives program listing data, where when live event data and updated program listings information is received in real-time at television equipment, the television equipment uses the updated program listing data to update the database and displays the updated programming guide data as represented in Figs. 11 and 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain's system by transmitting EPG and updated EPG to STB as taught by Knudson in order to provide viewer with the latest information of the unexpected programming and update viewers with timing change of the programming (col.2, lines 50-53).

Regarding **claim 23**, "the method wherein said banner includes at least one of: a title of said future program, a starting time of said future program, and a

duration of said future program" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the displaying information provided includes the program title, start time, channel number, etc. as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Regarding **claim 24**, "the method wherein said future program is a next program on a currently tuned channel" Mountain discloses (¶0013) that the display generated on the TV includes information relating to program next to be shown on one channel.

Regarding **claim 25**, "the method wherein said second program guide data includes an updated electronic program guide" Knudson discloses (col.13, lines 37-44) that the television equipment receives updated program listing data. In addition, same motivation is used as rejection to claim 21.

Regarding **claim 28**, "a television apparatus" reads on the device that provides next program information (abstract and ¶0008) disclosed by Mountain and represented in Fig. 2A.

As to "comprising: means for tuning a program on a channel" Mountain discloses (¶0025) that user selects a program of a channel to watch on a television.

As to "means for enabling display of a banner including information for a future program on said channel in response to one of said first program guide

data and said second program guide data" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that based on the EPG data received, the receiver generates a small display on TV indicating start of next program with program information as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Mountain meets all the limitations of the claim except "means for requesting first program guide data." However, Knudson discloses (col.11, lines 7-18) that based on the user's request, the television equipment receives program listing data as represented in Fig. 8.

As to "initiating acquisition of said first program guide data in response to said request, wherein if a broadcaster provides second program guide data to said television apparatus without being requested by said television apparatus while said television apparatus is acquiring said first program guide data, said television apparatus uses said second program guide data instead of said first program guide data" Knudson discloses (col.2, lines 44-56; col.13, lines 17-48) that the database at television equipment receives program listing data, where when live event data and updated program listings information is received in real-time at television equipment, the television equipment uses the updated program listing data to update the database and displays the updated programming guide data as represented in Figs. 11 and 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain's system by transmitting EPG and updated EPG to STB as taught by Knudson in order to provide viewer with the latest information of the unexpected

Art Unit: 2425

programming and update viewers with timing change of the programming (col.2, lines 50-53).

Regarding **claim 30**, "the television apparatus wherein said banner includes at least one of: a title of said future program, a starting time of said future program, and a duration of said future program" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the displaying information provided includes the program title, start time, channel number, etc. as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Regarding **claim 31**, "the television apparatus wherein said future program is a next program on said channel" Mountain discloses (¶0013) that the display generated on the TV includes information relating to program next to be shown on said channel.

Regarding **claim 32**, "the television apparatus wherein said second program guide data includes an updated electronic program guide" Knudson discloses (col.13, lines 37-44) that the television equipment receives updated program listing data. In addition, same motivation is used as rejection to claim 28.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,267

Art Unit: 2425

Regarding **claim 35**, "a television apparatus" reads on the apparatus that provides next program information (abstract and ¶0008) disclosed by Mountain and represented in Fig. 2A.

Page 8

As to "comprising: a tuner operative to tune a program on a channel" Mountain discloses (¶0025) that user selects channel to watch.

As to "wherein a banner including information for a future program on said channel is automatically displayed in response to one of said first program guide data and said second program guide data" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that based on the EPG data received, the receiver generates a small display on TV indicating start of next program with program information as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Mountain meets all the limitations of the claim except "a controller operative to request first program guide data." However, Knudson discloses (col.11, lines 7-18) that based on the user's request, the television equipment receives program listing data as represented in Fig. 8.

As to "enable acquisition of said first program guide data in response to said request, wherein if a broadcaster provides second program guide data to said television apparatus without being requested by said television apparatus while said television apparatus is acquiring said first program guide data, said television apparatus uses said second program guide data instead of said first program guide data" Knudson discloses (col.2, lines 44-56; col.13, lines 17-48) that the database at television equipment receives program listing data, where

when live event data and updated program listings information is received in real-time at television equipment, the television equipment uses the updated program listing data to update the database and displays the updated programming guide data as represented in Figs. 11 and 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain's system by transmitting EPG and updated EPG to STB as taught by Knudson in order to provide viewer with the latest information of the unexpected programming and update viewers with timing change of the programming (col.2, lines 50-53).

Regarding **claim 37**, "the television apparatus wherein said banner includes at least one of: a title of said future program, a starting time of said future program, and a duration of said future program" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the displaying information provided includes the program title, start time, channel number, etc. as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Regarding **claim 38**, "the television apparatus wherein said second program guide data includes an updated electronic program guide" Knudson discloses (col.13, lines 37-44) that the television equipment receives updated program listing data. In addition, same motivation is used as rejection to claim 35.

Art Unit: 2425

4. Claims 22, 29, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mountain in view of Knudson as applied to claims 21, 28, and 35 above, and further in view of US PG Pub 2004/0078817 to Horowitz et al (hereafter referenced as Horowitz).

Regarding claim 22, combination of Mountain and Knudson meets all the limitations of the claim except "the method wherein said requesting step is automatically performed a predetermined time period before a detected end time of a currently tuned program." However, Horowitz discloses (¶0036 and claim 22) that the query to update EPG data is transmitted immediately prior to the end of scheduled program time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain and Knudson's systems by transmitting updated EPG to STB at predetermined time before the program begins as taught by Horowitz in order to view/record programs at the updated time so viewers do not miss any portions of the program (¶0004).

Regarding **claim 29**, combination of Mountain and Knudson meets all the limitations of the claim except "the television apparatus wherein said request for said first program guide data is automatically made a predetermined time period before a detected end time of a currently tuned program on said channel." However, Horowitz discloses (¶0036 and claim 22) that the query to update EPG data is transmitted immediately prior to the end of scheduled program time.

Art Unit: 2425

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain's system by transmitting updated EPG to STB at predetermined time before the program begins as taught by Horowitz in order to view/record programs at the updated time so viewers do not miss any portions of the program (¶0004).

Regarding **claim 36**, combination of Mountain and Knudson meets all the limitations of the claim except "the television apparatus wherein said request for said first program guide data is automatically made a predetermined time period before a detected end time of a currently tuned program on said channel." However, Horowitz discloses (¶0036 and claim 22) that the query to update EPG data is transmitted immediately prior to the end of scheduled program time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain's system by transmitting updated EPG to STB at predetermined time before the program begins as taught by Horowitz in order to view/record programs at the updated time so viewers do not miss any portions of the program (¶0004).

5. Claims 26, 33, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mountain in view of Knudson and Horowitz as applied to claims 22, 29, and 36 above, and further in view of US Patent 6,396,531 to Gerszberg (hereafter referenced as Gerszberg).

Art Unit: 2425

Regarding **claim 26**, combination of Mountain, Knudson, and Horowitz meets all the limitations of the claim except "the method wherein said predetermined time period is selected by a user of said television apparatus." However, Gerszberg discloses (col.28, lines 49-58; col.29, lines 40- 41) that by clicking on user profile icon, user is presented with options with input means for inputting information, such as to a user specified schedule. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, and Horowitz's systems by on-screen menu to set the predetermined time as taught by Gerszberg in order to allow users to gain access to latest program information (col.2, lines 34-35).

Regarding **claim 33**, "the television apparatus wherein said predetermined time period is selected by a user of said television apparatus" Gerszberg discloses (col.28, lines 49-58; col.29, lines 40- 41) that by clicking on user profile icon, user is presented with options with input means for inputting information, such as to a user specified schedule. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, and Horowitz's systems by on-screen menu to set the predetermined time as taught by Gerszberg in order to allow users to gain access to latest program information (col.2, lines 34-35).

Regarding claim 39, "the television apparatus wherein said predetermined

Art Unit: 2425

time period is selected by a user of said television apparatus" Gerszberg discloses (col.28, lines 49-58; col.29, lines 40-41) that by clicking on user profile icon, user is presented with options with input means for inputting information, such as to a user specified schedule. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, and Horowitz's systems by on-screen menu to set the predetermined time as taught by Gerszberg in order to allow users to gain access to latest program information (col.2, lines 34-35).

6. Claims 27, 34, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mountain in view of Knudson, Horowitz, and Gersberg as applied to claims 26, 33, and 39 above, and further in view of US Patent 6,763,522 to Kondo (hereafter referenced as Kondo).

Regarding **claim 27**, "the method further comprised of said television apparatus performing steps of: enabling display of said banner using said updated program guide data in response to determining that said banner is not currently displayed" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the message display is generated and indicates viewer that a new program can be viewed on the same channel as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Combination of Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg meets all the limitations of the claim except "determining if said banner is currently displayed in response to receiving said second program guide data." However,

Art Unit: 2425

Kondo discloses (col.11, lines 7-9, 52-54) that the system checks to determine if the updated program information for the current tuned channel is present in transport stream and displays future programming information on the display. As to "updating said banner using said second program guide data in response to determining that said banner is currently displayed" Kondo discloses (col.7, lines 46-48) that the tuner in receiver constantly receives and refreshes graphic panel for future events with newly received program streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg's systems by refreshing graphic panel with updated EPG information as taught by Kondo in order to provide viewer with up-to-minute events schedule information (col.12, lines 46-48).

Regarding **claim 34**, "the television apparatus wherein said banner is displayed using said second program guide data in response to said requesting means determining that said banner is not currently displayed" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the message display is generated and indicates viewer that a new program can be viewed on the same channel as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Combination of Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg meets all the limitations of the claim except "said requesting means determines if said banner is currently displayed in response to said television apparatus receiving

Art Unit: 2425

said second program guide data." However, Kondo discloses (col.11, lines 7-9, 52-54) that the system checks to determine if the updated program information for the current tuned channel is present in transport stream and displays future programming information on the display. As to "said banner is updated using said second program guide data in response to said requesting means determining that said banner is currently displayed" Kondo discloses (col.7, lines 46-48) that the tuner in receiver constantly receives and refreshes graphic panel for future events with newly received program streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg's systems by refreshing graphic panel with updated EPG information as taught by Kondo in order to provide viewer with up-to-minute events schedule information (col.12, lines 46-48).

Regarding **claim 40**, "the television apparatus wherein said banner is displayed using said second program guide data in response to said controller determining that said banner is not currently displayed" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the message display is generated and indicates viewer that a new program can be viewed on the same channel as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Combination of Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg meets all the limitations of the claim except "said controller determines if said banner is currently displayed in response to said television apparatus receiving said

Art Unit: 2425

second program guide data." However, Kondo discloses (col.11, lines 7-9, 52-54) that the system checks to determine if the updated program information for the current tuned channel is present in transport stream and displays future programming information on the display. As to "said banner is updated using said second program guide data in response to said controller determining that said banner is currently displayed" Kondo discloses (col.7, lines 46-48) that the tuner in receiver constantly receives and refreshes graphic panel for future events with newly received program streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg's systems by refreshing graphic panel with updated EPG information as taught by Kondo in order to provide viewer with up-to-minute events schedule information (col.12, lines 46-48).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2425

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pinkal R. Chokshi whose telephone number is (571) 270-3317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian T. Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pinkal R. Chokshi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2425

Art Unit: 2425

/Brian T Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425